
The **RESISTER**

The Official Publication
of the Special Forces Underground

Volume I

Spring 1995

Number 4

Joint Task Force - 6 Subversion of the Third Amendment

-by-
William Sheppard

THE THIRD AMENDMENT to the Constitution is usually ignored during constitutional debates about the federal government's unchecked abrogation of the rights of individuals. The tendency to overlook the Third Amendment is due, largely, to its wording. The Third Amendment states:

No soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house, without the consent of the owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.

On the surface, the prohibition against quartering troops in houses seems firmly anchored in the 18th century, and apparently involves none of the fundamental issues of individual liberty inherent in the other amendments. In fact, the philosophical premise of the Third Amendment was firmly grounded in the founders' recognition that government is institutionalized force; that a government's instrument of force is a standing army, and that unless government is bound by strict, well defined constitutional constraints, a standing army becomes an instrument of domestic tyranny, rather than one of national defense.

Patrick Henry affirmed antifederalist reservations about unchecked federal control of both standing and militia forces under Article I, section 8, of the proposed constitution, when he stated:

Continued on page 9

Actions DO Reflect Values

As this issue was going to press we received the following report from Z.B. Vance (pseudonym), a source close to BATF. The information contained in this report is raw data, and is for reporting purposes only. This information has not been analyzed, and is not finally evaluated intelligence. The content of the message is reproduced as received. This material is unedited. The source of this information is rated A2. Editor.

REFERENCE: Cummins ((BEAT-
TY)); subject was ATF SAC
in Nashville, TN. He was
having child pornography delivered
to his office. He also was having
visitors come to his office believed to
be homosexual partners, although it
is unclear whether actual homosexual
acts took place at the office or at
another location. What is clear is that
((BEATTY)) was watching kiddie
porn movies in his office with the
door closed and his pants open, mas-
turbating as he watched. Apparently,

Continued on page 11

Post Office Box 47095, Kansas City, Missouri, 64188

Copy _____ of 1250 Copies

©Copyright 1995 by The RESISTER. This publication is produced in compliance with DA PAM 190-2; AR 210-10; AR 600-50; AR 360-5; 18 US Code, § 2387, and Uniform Code of Military Justice. Permission is granted for individuals to make white duplicates of this publication.

Open Letters to Our Readers

On Militia

IN MAY 1993, the Coordinating Staff of the Special Forces Underground met to discuss our degree of cooperation with the various patriot and militia organizations. The operations officer was directed to complete a staff study, and to present his advise no later than 01 October 1993. On that date, during the quarterly command and staff meeting, we made the decision to avoid any unqualified direct contact with the patriot movement in general, and the militias in particular.

Our decision was grounded in part on my intelligence officer's assessment that most of the various militia groups were riddled with both recruited and opportunity informants, federal and state undercover operatives, and agents provocateur; that any direct involvement with the militias would ultimately contaminate the security of our own organization.

This is not an indictment of the unorganized militias which, in our view, are exercising their common law and constitutional rights of self defense and voluntary association. It is a simple statement of fact. However, the very openness of most militia groups—their careless recruitment methods and inherent lack of rudimentary personnel screening and operational security measures—leaves these groups open to infiltration, subversion and manipulation. Should the federal government decide to act against the militia groups, and the militia groups stand and fight, they will not, in their current configuration, last one month. That is our assessment. Out of this meeting, however, the idea of *The RESISTER* was born.

The inherent weakness of any underground organization is its limited ability to communicate its message in a non-permissive environment to a potential support base and thereby generate sympathizers, adherents, and recruits. If the Special Forces Underground consisted of homosexuals, Marxists, new age dirt-worshippers, and New World Order Quislings, and we had simply let it be known that we were being repressed by so-called right-wing "extremists" in the military establishment we could have generated no end of support from the mainstream media and their Marxist support apparatus. It is even possible we could have received the tacit blessing of our military chain of command for our "progressive" stand on current issues.

We are none of those things. We believe in the primacy of the individual—as an end unto himself. We believe in the inalienable rights of man—life, liberty and property. We believe in the incomparable expression of man's rational faculties in action, the source of all true rights—laissez faire capitalism. We believe that the Constitution is the logical expression of our beliefs. We believe that our current federal, state, and local governments represent the antitheses of everything we hold true. The only secure way to communicate our beliefs within the military is by the historically proven means of clandestine publishing. Thus *The RESISTER*.

One uncontrollable consequence of publishing *The RESISTER* was its spread outside Special Forces into the patriot movement. This put the Special Forces Underground in indirect contact with the militia movement which, by conscious policy, we originally intended to avoid. (If this sounds contradictory you need to re-examine your premises regarding clandestine organizations and operations). Our support for the concept of the unorganized militia in general is unqualified. Our support for particular militia groups is very much qualified.

Socialists argue that the necessity for an unorganized militia has been negated by the de facto select militia, the National Guard. The establishment of the National Guard in 1917 was a deliberate effort on the part of socialists (then called "progressives") to subvert both the letter and intent of the Uniform Militia Act of 1792, and the Second Amendment. The National Guard is the very

Continued on page 18

Reality

CLANDESTINE publishing involves certain inescapable realities that some of our less experienced readers fail to recognize and account for when requesting information or publications from us. The majority of people who request information about the Special Forces Underground, or desire to subscribe to *The RESISTER*, are accustomed to prompt responses and services from the businesses they deal with. This is a reasonable expectation when dealing with a business.

However, Special Forces Underground is not a business. It is a resistance movement. *The RESISTER* is the official publication of that resistance movement. True, by virtue of publishing *The RESISTER*, and the occasional book, we are engaged in business-like activity. But, what everyone who desires to subscribe to *The RESISTER* or obtain our other publications must understand is that, by virtue of being a clandestine organization, and by virtue of publishing clandestinely, we cannot reasonably be held to conventional standards of prompt service.

When conducting business with us we ask you to take the following facts into account:

1. We do not have a business office with secretaries processing orders.
2. We do not maintain stocks. When you order something from us it has to be reproduced from a master.
3. We cannot adhere to rigid production schedules. We must assemble those personnel who have volunteered to assist in that quarter at one place, at one time, to commence production. This is not as easy, or secure, as it sounds.
4. We cannot adhere to rigid distribution schedules. (The opposition would *love* that).
5. Incoming mail passes down a

Continued on page 4

EDITORIALS

Anti-capitalist Treaties.

NAFTA AND GATT have exactly nothing to do with "free trade." These treaties are deliberate assaults on the last remaining vestiges of capitalism. The degree to which the American public at large has, through its willful indolence and ignorance, accepted the unconscionable socialist premise that the purpose of government is to "promote the general Welfare," is reflected in fact that, at no time during the debates on NAFTA and GATT, did a single main-stream media "conservative," either in print, on television, or on radio, point out two simple facts. First, that any government control, legislation, regulation, or manipulation of an economy, is *socialism*. Second, that government negotiated "free trade" treaties must, by definition, give government control of that trade. The federal government has accomplished with two scraps of paper what they could not accomplish in two centuries of compromising away the ideal of capitalism; socialism by international treaty. The economy of the United States is now tied, by default, to the economies of quasi-communist Mexico, socialist Canada, and the socialist economic block of the EEC.

The preamble to the Constitution provides for the federal government to "...promote the general Welfare..." of the United States. In objective terms specifically related to the economy the phrase "...promote the general Welfare..." can mean nothing less than simply leaving the economy alone. However, the meaning of this provision has been intentionally subverted by altruists, socialists, and Marxists within the government, to enslave the means of wealth creation in service to domestic and international parasites.

During the ratification debates antifederalists pointed out that this clause must, as a logical consequence of its vagueness, must inevitably result in despotism and tyranny. In 1787, Samuel Bryan remarked:

...and moreover, the absolute controul over the commerce of the United States and all objects of revenue, such as unlimited imposts upon imports, &c. —they (Congress) are to be vested with every species of *internal* taxation;—whatever taxes, duties and excises that they may deem requisite for the *general welfare*, ...distributed through every district in America; and the collection would be enforced by the standing army, however grievous or improper they may be. The Congress may construe every purpose for which the state legislatures now lay taxes, to be for the *general welfare*, and therefore seize upon every object of revenue.

"Centinel" I, *Independent Gazetteer*, 5 Oct 1787

As mentioned elsewhere in this issue, the myriad unconstitutional federal law enforcement and regulatory agencies have become the "standing army" the antifederalists warned against. These agencies are now, by default of the NAFTA and GATT treaties, organs of the World Trade Organization.

There is no such thing as a "world economy." The very notion of such is the fabrication of one-world socialists. Since the end of World War II, internationalists have been passing economic legislation which has no other goal than the conversion the United States into a slave nation in the service of the pathetic Third World irrelevancies that make up the body of the United Nations.

Better tens-of-millions of Third World savages live in abject poverty and starve, as a logical result of their socialist government policies, than a single American business be amalgamated into a "world economy" managed by Mexican, Congolese, and French bureaucrats.

John Smilie

The RESISTER Targeted

We have been informed by a previously reliable source, that during a recent officers call at Fort Devens, MA, Major General Harley Davis, Commander, United States Army Special Forces Command (Airborne), remarked to several senior officers present, including COL Lambert, Commander, 10th SFG(A), that he considered *The RESISTER* "treasonous and disloyal." He, (or COL Lambert, it is unclear which), further stated that he intended to, "find each and every one of those disloyal (individuals) involved with 'that' publication." Two questions come immediately to mind. "Treason," against what? "Disloyal," to whom?

Article III, section 3 of the Constitution defines treason thus: "Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort." Is MG Davis inferring that defending the Constitution, and adhering to the philosophy it represents—self-interest, limited republicanism, and capitalism—constitutes treason? Is he also inferring that publicly adhering to our sacred oath to defend the Constitution "...against all enemies, foreign and domestic,"—against the inherently evil forces of democracy, socialism, and communism—constitutes disloyalty? Apparently, since he did not define his terms, he is.

The RESISTER does not advocate "overthrow of government" (18 USC s 2385); we advocate restoration of the Constitutional Republic. *The RESISTER* is not engaged in activity that "advises, councils, urges, or in any manner causes or attempts to cause insubordination, disloyalty, mutiny, or refusal of duty by any member of the military or naval forces of the United States," (18 USC s 2387(a)(1)); we are expressing our own beliefs, on our own time, and with our own resources; nor does anybody associated with *The RESISTER* tolerate discussion of "insubordination, mutiny, disloyalty, or refusal of duty," *in defense of the Constitution*.

Special Warfare, the Army's official publication of special operations

forces, is implicitly Marxist in political philosophy and explicitly pro United Nations. *The RESISTER* is explicitly pro Constitution and vehemently anti U.N.. Which of these publications, General Davis, do you imagine is most popular within Special Forces? Which of these publications, General Davis, do you imagine thousands of patriotic Americans—Americans who have come to fear their own government and what it has come to stand for—subscribe to, or read bootleg copies of?

This incident, and its implications, raises an issue that is of deadly importance to the future of this nation. Officers do not become senior officers by adhering to principle and never compromising their personal integrity. They will jump on each political bandwagon, regardless of which direction it is headed, that will further their careers. Who, exactly, is being treasonous and disloyal? *The RESISTER*, and its uncompromising support and defense of the Constitution, or those adherents of socialism, Marxism and one-world government who are shredding the Constitution?

At least our words and our actions are consistent with our beliefs.

J.F.A. Davidson

Statement of Policy

The philosophy of the Special Force Underground and *The RESISTER* is straightforward: individual rights, strict constitutionalism, limited government, isolationism, laissez-faire capitalism, and republicanism; in short, the principles upon which this nation was founded.

We oppose: statism, socialism, collectivism, liberalism, altruism, internationalism, tribalism, democracy, pull politics, and the New World Order; in short, the ideologies of all tyrannies.

Our philosophical framework is objectivism (the rational morality of self interest—which means; **Life**). Our political philosophy is grounded in the works of the Founding Fathers of this nation and Auberon Herbert (government as servant, not master—which means; **Liberty**). Our economic philosophy is grounded in the works of Carl Menger, Ludwig von Mises, and Henry Hazlitt (the guarantor of individual rights, laissez-faire capitalism—which means; **Property**).

We do not advocate the violent overthrow of the U.S. government. We do advocate resistance to government tyranny at all levels. We do not advocate the initiation of force in doing so. We do advocate appropriate force-in-kind in retaliation. We advocate active resistance against the United Nations.

Our goal is the restoration of the Constitutional Republic. Our aim is to see the federal government defanged, muzzled, shackled and cast back into its constitutional prison.

Official Policy Yields Desired Results

AS REPORTS continue to filter in from our observers in Haiti, it is apparent that the official U.S. policy of disarming the Haitian middle and upper class is beginning to pay off with the desired results. Middle and upper class business people, former FAd'H officials, and current members of the Interim Police Security Force (IPSF), are being targeted for murder by the democracy loving, communist, Organization Populaire Lavalas (OPL).

The United States Army, under the direct control of the United Nations, deliberately set about to disarm the very segment of Haitian society which could have pulled that country back from the brink of African savagery. As a result of this policy of population disarmament, the communists, deliberately placed into power in Haiti by the federal government and the United States Army, have begun a systematic campaign of terror and murder to cement their hold on that country.

As United States forces revert to the direct operational control of the United Nations you can expect more of the same. The only people who are safe and secure in Haiti are the communists. This is because the United States Army, adhering to deliberate policy dictated by the United Nations, disarmed everyone who would oppose communism.

Samuel Nasson

Correction

In our VOL. I, No. 3 (Winter 1995), side-bar "Agents Provocateur and Informants," we stated that undercover operatives and informants, if directly asked, must identify themselves as such.

Council informs us this not true. In his words:

...contrary to popular belief, if one asks an informant or undercover agent his official position, and he lies, information he gathers WILL BE admissible. An undercover agent CAN lie, and not only are they encouraged to do so, but they would get nowhere without deception.

The error was ours solely, and we take responsibility for it.

The Staff

Reality

Continued from page 2

rat-line staffed by an auxiliary comprised of both witting volunteers and unwitting accomplices. It is now 29 March, 1995. We are still receiving mail postmarked in February. Our security is more important than a direct mailing address.

6. Outgoing distribution must be smuggled to an auxiliary volunteer. All this takes time. Six weeks on average

Special Forces Underground and *The RESISTER* conducts its business honestly. Those who have overpaid for requested publications have received cash refunds with their order. Those who have underpaid have received what they asked for along with an order blank. Almost without exception, compensation has been returned (there is always that 1% who are thieves). On rare occasions something "slips through the cracks." Sometimes that is due to mail-crossing, sometimes to mistakes on our part. Have patience with our operational constraints. If you have a complaint let us know, and we will make good on your request.

No underground organization or resistance movement can conduct its activities without a reasonably secure source of income. Our firm belief, based on our considerable experience, is that resistance movements which resort to crime to support their activities (bank robberies, drug dealing, etc.), were never anything other than criminal organizations to begin with. The Special Forces Underground will not raise money for its activities by any means other than honorable means, and the voluntary contributions of our supporters.

The blood that precipitated the first American Revolution was ink. The Special Forces Underground pays homage to that tradition via *The RESISTER* and its ancillary publications. Together we will wrest our constitutional republic away from the democracy worshipping mob; and we will pry our lives, liberty, and property from the death grip of Marxist usurpers.

The Staff

CORRESPONDENCE

I THOROUGHLY enjoyed your last issue (Winter, 1995, Vol.I, No.3). While I wholeheartedly agree with your editorial "Expropriation of an Ideal," I find I must disagree with your rhetoric about "Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations social workers." First, you're right. Bronze Bruce was dedicated to Special Forces soldiers killed in the Republic of Viet Nam (and other wars). He belongs in the plaza. LTG Scott displayed overweening hubris. No argument.

However, as you are well aware, Psychological Operations soldiers aren't Civil Affairs or social workers. PSYOP (or PSYWAR as it was then) is a primary progenitor of Army Special Forces and is closely woven into the classic SF mission, guerrilla warfare.

Students of resistance movements and undergrounds recognize that these are wars of ideas. The first phase of any resistance movement is psychological operations to build the movement, create resistance potential and attract recruits. Indeed, just killing resisters is ineffective in the long run (they become martyrs), unless you discredit or subsume their idea.

Any true patriot would be well advised to find a copy of the current PSYOP manuals (FM 33-1 & 33-1-1) and read them. The leftist "compassion fascist" socialist media is already demonizing and trivializing the constitutionalist resistance to their New World Order agenda. We need to employ effective, truth-based, organized PSYOP to counter their propaganda and reach the *thinking* electorate and other key communicators.

None of this even suggests that PSYOP soldiers are Special Forces or that Bronze Bruce represents anyone but Special Forces. Rather, it is a reminder to this community that the war of the flea is won through having a **better idea** than the opposition and by getting that idea across.

The Framers produced that better idea in the Constitution.

Tom Paine
USAJFKSWCS

You are correct. Guerrilla warfare and psychological warfare are inseparable. Indeed, they are a continuum. However, when guerrilla warfare and psychological warfare are divided by fiat into separate commands they are both rendered impotent. We have long held that the PSYOP course should be made Phase IV of the Q Course. Our reference to PSYOP and CA as "social workers" is grounded in our policy of judging actions. 19 year-old kids driving around a town in Haiti blurring such filth as "Rejoice, democracy is here," over a loudspeaker, is social work, not psychological warfare. The RESISTER is psychological warfare and our better idea is that of the Framers.

The Editor

THANK YOU for all your efforts and may God bless you and our country. We are networking with the Council on Domestic Relations to get the 10th Amendment resolution adopted in North Carolina. It will be a monumental task, but a number of legislators are enthusiastic about it.

Please keep **The RESISTER** coming. Just the fact that you exist encourages those of us who are working across the country.

The Liberty Sentinel
PO Box 1188
Liberty, NC 27298

I RECENTLY had a conversation with a 7th SFGA soldier who had just returned from a JTF-Six mission. In the process of picking his brain I elicited a response to the effect of, "Oh, I guess you're one of those nuts who's worried about GARDEN PLOT and CABLESPICE, aren't you?" In

the course of the conversation he informed me that the ATF personnel he had worked with had told him about the plan for a general disarmament of the civil population, and that they were sure they could pull it off. Further, he seemed to be in agreement. He told me, "Civilians don't need 'those types' of guns anyway."

It is a dirty shame that so many of our nation's young men are dead asleep on their feet. Our enemy has already won a great battle in our public education system. Hardly anyone I talk to has ever read the Declaration of Independence or the Constitution. The common perception seems to be that we can't really know what the Founders had in mind, because we weren't there, and those old documents were written in another time and the words mean different things now.

Reference your article about Haiti: everyone I talk to says the same thing first; "Man, that whole operation was f___d-up." I am encouraged that at least some saw the reality of occupation and disarmament.

Last summer I attended a DCM clinic and ordered an M1 rifle. What I saw at the range was heartening. Mom and Dad, Jr. and Sis, all showed-up with militia grade weapons. There were M1's, M1 carbines, SKS's, M1A's, AR15's, MAK90's, and just about every suitable military firearm made during this century. The reality is that the militia is well armed. The question is, can the population of this country resist the immoral premise that registration and licensing is the way to prevent crime?

No man will convince me to trade my rights for permission. The last time I looked license meant; "Permission to do that which would otherwise be illegal." Nor will the validity of my rights be determined by the actions of criminals. Gun control of any kind has nothing to do with crime—it is only about power. HCI and their Marxist support apparatus are irrelevant idiots who possess only the credibility we give them.

Finally, we must claw our way out of the quagmire of perpetual paternalism into which we have sold ourselves. The ancient Hebrews found themselves in an identical situation and found moral courage in their faith. We, on the other hand, while

The RESISTER

drawing moral courage from the same source, must not wait for a savior.

Our ancestors gave us an instrument with which we can save ourselves. It is the Constitution. It is defended with a rifle.

Bruce
Ft. Jackson, SC

I am writing you in regard to the article "First Amendment Police" (Vol.I, No.3). The man that you wrote about is a sergeant on my staff. I sent him to find out any information he could on your mail drop and personnel picking up items at the post office, and to find out who was operating in our back yard.

We found that your operation was not as secure as we thought it might be. It only took our man about an hour and we knew names and addresses of all involved. I would have used a man with less of a criminal background to sign for the box.

As far as surveillance goes we never put any on the post office or the young gentleman, no damage control was needed by your staff.

The 1st Battalion, 1st Light Infantry Regiment—Texas Reserve Militia, invited your young gentleman to our meeting in December and (we) would like to work with your group if the need ever arises.

Please accept our apology and (we) hope that you now feel secure in your operation and keep up the good work.

M. Smith
Captain, 1st Texas Light Infantry
Texas Reserve Militia

How very curious. For the record:

1) Your sergeant found what he was supposed to find, which was exactly nothing; 2) none of our auxiliary had, or have, criminal records; 3) we will determine when damage control is needed and when it is not; 4) our cut-out was not invited by anybody to any meeting; 5) feeling secure has nothing to do with security; 6) we will keep up the good work.

Do not bulls__t us. We do this for a living.

E.Hinchley
G2, Security

President John Kennedy, in his 1961 inaugural address said, "In the long history of the world, only a few generations have been granted the role of defending freedom in the hour maximum danger...The energy, the faith, the devotion, which we bring to this endeavor will light our country and all who serve it, and the glow from that fire can truly light the world."

Over two hundred years ago a generation of Americans successfully defended freedom and created a system of government that was truly a light in a darkened world. They proved that a nation can function most efficiently with a severely limited government, and that only government of, by and for the people can provide abundant life, liberty, happiness and security. Even in the present "New World Order" darkness, tradition causes people of other nations to look to America for leadership in restoring freedom. As they watch our nation being taken over by enemies from within, they also see the rise of ministers and patriot leaders who are too honorable to do less than risk their lives in opposing this evil world system. Perhaps it is our example that is causing the people of Chechnya to take up arms and cast off Soviet slavery. There was even a Russian general who refused to move his tanks against these people who only desire freedom.

One principle our enemies are using against us is "divide and conquer." They forced integration upon us to get blacks and whites to hate each other. We dare not hate each other because there are people of all races working together to free our nation. They're trying to stir up hatred of Jews, but there are Jews working just as hard a Christians, defending their right to believe as they choose.

All true Americans must get involved in this struggle. We must preserve what our forefathers entrusted to us.

Chuck A.
Montana

Please add me to the distribution list for *The RESISTER*. I served proudly in various units of the 9th Infantry Division from 1977-1981 and then in the MI Com-

pany, 10th SFGA from 1981-1983. While a member of the active duty military I was just an ordinary American doing what I believed at the time was an important job.

Like you, I am deeply distressed at the direction taken by our freedom-trashing government. The former Special Forces men involved in the political process here in the Granite State are dedicated, resourceful and resolute. We do not intend to loose. The Founding Fathers who built this republic during the late 18th century worked diligently to craft a government which would respect the rights of free men. No glib, slick liberals are going to dismantle the freedom and liberty which so many Americans have surrendered their lives to preserve.

If you or yours should ever need help or assistance when in (CENSORED, ed.), do not hesitate to call or write. Mention (CENSORED, ed.) to let me know who the caller or writer might be. I will endeavor to offer a bed, a meal or a rest stop, whatever is needed.

Many citizens on the outside of the military are scared that your organization has been set up by the Army and the administration to smoke-out dissent. I think not, given what I have read in your publication. I have never been afraid to stand firmly for what is moral, right and just. I am completely and unequivocally unconcerned about how (the government) regards me. If they fear free Americans that's their problem, not mine.

Christopher
Vermont

As long as The RESISTER remains a clandestine publication rumors are going to circulate that we are a false flag operation. These rumors are probably spread by the same people who believe the world is run by a wizened old man sitting in a windowless room devoid of furniture less a chair and table, whom, sitting beneath a bare light bulb hanging from the ceiling, chain smoking Lucky Strike cigarettes, instructs his minions, "Now, destabilize the Peso."

Associate Editor

Continued on page 12

Vol. I, No. 4

PERINTREP

From Russia, With Love

ON 22 FEBRUARY 1995, Colonel Vladimir P. Malakhov, of the Russian army's General Department of International Military Cooperation toured Fort Bragg, North Carolina with Sen. Tony Rand, (D. NC). LTG Hugh Shelton, commander, XVIII Airborne Corps, and MG Mike Steele, commander 82nd Airborne Division, hosted Colonel Malakhov and 12 members of his staff.

Colonel Malakhov's mission at Fort Bragg was to gather information relating to the formation of a Russian Mobility Forces Command tailored after the U.S. Army's Rapid Deployment Force, which is the XVIII Airborne Corps. Malakhov and his staff also toured Pope Air Force Base in order to get an appreciation for the airlift and air support necessary to support an RDF. The Russian Mobility Forces Command will consist of approximately 100,000 soldiers including paratroopers, naval infantry, SPETZNAZ, motorized infantry, as well as an air transport division.

Colonel Malakhov emphasized that the force mix of the Mobility Forces Command would be similar to that used in the attack on Chechnya. Colonel Malakhov emphasized the benefits of the joint U.S. Russian peace-enforcement training conducted in Totskoye Russia last September, by the U.S. 1st Brigade, 3d Infantry Division and the Russian 27th Motorized Infantry Division (which "enforced the peace" in secessionist Moldavia).

Commenting on the recent joint U.S. Russian training, which included establishing population control checkpoints and riot control, and his visit to Fort Bragg, Colonel Malakhov remarked; "Russian and American troops will work together in peace-keeping, rescue and humanitarian missions. Now we're looking to unite." (Emphasis added).

Posture Statement

THE 1994 EDITION of the *United States Special Operations Force Posture Statement*, a glossy 100 page magazine published by the Department of Defense which defines the roles, missions and capabilities of U.S. Special Operations Forces, and which is the joint official policy statement of H. Allen Holmes, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, and GEN Wayne A. Downing, Commander in Chief, U.S. Special Operations Command, is dedicated to the "...commitment to peace." (For those of you old enough to remember, being 'committed to peace' used to be the bromide exchanged among those who were dedicated to one-world communism).

Indeed, Chapter 1, entitled "A New World Order" begins: "From the ashes of the cold war, a New World Order has emerged. National Boundaries and political alliances have shifted apparently overnight, and former adversaries have become partners in the quest for global security and stability."

Deeper into this slick sales brochure Mr. Holmes and GEN Downing moan about the "failure of democratic reforms" and that correcting this alleged catastrophe commits special operations forces to, "greater emphasis...on multinational and collective peace operations." Yet more chilling is the official dedication of special operations forces to "offer training and support to other nations to preserve their biodiversity and ecology..." This is intended to promote "global peace."

Multinational; collective; global peace. Sounds familiar, doesn't it comrades?

We have one question, Wayne. How do the armed forces of a nation founded on the principles of constitutional republicanism come to be cheerleaders for democracy?

Et tu, Air Force?

THE 13 FEBRUARY 1995, issue of *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, contained an article entitled, "Weapons, Avionics Upgrades Expand B-1B Options." The article discussed recent modifications to B-1B bomber weapons delivery systems, enabling the aircraft to carry thirty 1,000-lb Tactical Munitions Dispensers, each capable of holding about 722 individual bomblettes. (The article claims "dozens" of bomblettes per Tactical Munitions Dispenser. We did some homework and 722 is our best estimate. That's 21,660 anti-personnel bomblettes per B-1B).

Brigadier General James M. Richards, director of long-range power projection programs, who is assigned to the office of the U.S. Air Force Assistant Secretary for Acquisition, claimed the weapons upgrade was necessary to expand the Air Force's capability, "(against ex-Soviet) systems as well as the 'Blue/Grey' threats we worry about today."

Care to explain that remark, Jim?

Be Scared

AFTER clearing the Department of Defense Police desk at the new combined headquarters building for the United States Army Special Operations Command and the United States Army Special Forces Command (Airborne), located at the intersection of Reilly Road and Yadkin Extension on Fort Bragg, North Carolina, proceed through the security stiles to the elevators for a lift to the second floor.

Upon exiting the elevator turn right, then left, and proceed down the hallway until it ends. Turn right. You have now entered the waiting room of Rudolph M. Jones, Jr., Colonel, General Staff, Deputy Commander, USASFC(A). The first flag you see, the *only* flag in the waiting room, is the United Nations flag.

Civilian Inmate Labor Program

A MILITARY MEMORANDUM CONCERNING the use of civilian inmate labor and the establishment of prison camps on Army installations has been circulating among the various patriot and militia organizations. This memorandum is in fact the transmittal letter for the draft of a new Army regulation. We have a copy of the draft regulation.

The draft regulation is entitled; AR 5-XX, *Civilian Inmate Labor Program*. (5 means it is a management document, XX means the published regulation will carry a two number designation). The transmittal letter is dated 27 July 1994, with a suspense date of 29 August 1994, for reviewing agencies to forward proposed changes, additions or deletions to the action agency on the appropriate forms. The Summary paragraph on the regulation cover letter states:

"This regulation provides guidance for establishing and managing civilian inmate labor programs on Army installations/activities. It provides guidance on establishing prison camps on Army installations/activities. It addresses recordkeeping (sic) and reporting incidents related to the civilian inmate labor program and/or prison camp administration."

The Applicability paragraph states: "This regulation applies to the Active Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and the Army National Guard. This regulation applies during full mobilization."

Paragraph 1-1, Purpose, states:

"This regulation provides Army policy and guidance for establishing civilian inmate labor programs and civilian prison camps on Army installations. Sources of civilian inmate labor are limited to federal corrections facilities and state/local corrections facilities operating from on-post prison camps. State/local inmate labor from off-post corrections facilities is currently excluded from this program..."

Although the Army cannot solicit the establishment of prison camps on installations corrections officials may request the lease of land and facilities. "However, the Army's primary purpose for allowing the establish-

ment of prison camps on Army installations is to utilize the resident inmate labor pool to perform valid requirements." (Para. 3-1). The regulation prohibits army personnel from becoming involved in any manner with prison camp operations (Para. 3-5.e.).

The draft regulation draws its authority from 18 USC 4125(a), governing services federal civilian inmates can perform for DOD agencies, and Executive Order 11755, governing use of non-federal civilian inmates on federal contracts.

The Opposition Restructures

ON 3 MAY 1994, President Clinton signed PDD/NSC-24 which created a new national Counterintelligence (CI) structure and two new CI organizations. The stated purpose was to, "improve national-level counterintelligence policy development, coordination, and cooperation."

The first of these new organizations is the National CI Policy Board (NACIPB). Its mandate is to review and formulate counterintelligence policy. It meets monthly and is chaired by Mr. Keith Hall, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security. The NACIPB reports to the president.

The second new organization is the National Counterintelligence Center (NACIC), established under the authority of the NACIPB. The NACIC "manages" threats to national and economic security, monitors the effectiveness of the national CI program, controls interagency CI programs, effects integration of CI community data bases, and coordinates security countermeasures programs. Mr. Michael Waguespack, a senior FBI counterintelligence executive, is the director of NACIC. The NACIC is collocated with the CIA CI Center. The Army has three billets in this organization. The Justice Department now controls all counterintelligence.

The significance of PDD/NSC-24 is two-fold. First, by consolidating all

counterintelligence functions into one organization (NACIPB is the organization, NACIC is its tool), which reports directly to the president, it becomes, de facto, a political instrument. Second, the follow-up PDD-25, which subordinated America's national defense command and control to the United Nations, effectively gives the United Nations a direct conduit into the combined counterintelligence services of this nation's intelligence community via its military channels.

The Garrison Factor

Several well placed sources have reported that Major General William Garrison, Commander, United States Army John F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School, intends to implement a new command policy designed to create a "politically sterile" work environment.

MG Garrison has stated to his Special Staff that his new policy will not tolerate any political expression, opinion, dissent, satire, or discussion in the workplace. Prohibited also will be the display of signs, posters, quotes, cartoons, stickers, pins or badges carrying a political message. Specifically prohibited from the workplace will be partisan political publications. Political discussion of topical issues will be banned, as will discussions of more broadly based political philosophy.

Explicitly prohibited will be the possession, distribution, and dissemination of *The RESISTER*, and discussion of its contents. (MG Garrison is the individual responsible for the confiscation of *The RESISTER* from the Marquat Memorial Library, and supporter of mandatory homosexual sensitivity training in USAJFK-SWCS, in August 1994).

Bill, let's face it; you've learned nothing during your Special Forces career. We suggest you begin your study of counterinsurgency from scratch. On one hand, we could tell you where and how to begin, which might be fun. On the other hand, we would have to send you a bill.

J.F.A. Davidson

JTF-6

Continued from page 1

To me this appears a very alarming power, when unlimited. They are not only to raise, but to support armies; and this support is to go to the utmost abilities of the United States. If Congress shall say, that the general welfare requires it, they may keep armies continually on foot. There is no controul on Congress in raising and stationing them. They may billet them on the people at pleasure. This unlimited authority is a most dangerous power: Its principles are despotic. If it be unbounded, it must lead to despotism. For the power of the people in a free Government, is supposed to be paramount to the existing power.

Virginia Convention, 1788

Particularly significant is the fact that, during the constitution ratification debates in the state legislatures, proposed bills of rights universally juxtaposed the individual right to bear arms; the militia as the armed forces of the state and *posse comitatus*; the prohibition of federal quartering, and declarations that standing armies in time of peace are dangerous to liberty. These declarations were not arbitrarily derived. They were based on the fact that, at the time, standing armies were used domestically to enforce unpopular laws and keep the population subjugated to the dictates of the central government.

In context, therefore, the premise underpinning the Third Amendment dealt with the unalienable right to be free from the exercise of arbitrary, capricious and despotic compulsion by armed forces under the exclusive control of the federal government. The quartering of troops was simply the expression of that premise.

The Reconstruction Acts imposed on the rebel states after the Civil War, and their martial law enforcement, amply demonstrated earlier antifederalist fears of unchecked federal use of armed forces to enforce arbitrary law. Only the passage of the Posse Comitatus Act in 1878 ended these outrages. The Posse Comitatus Act stated, in part:

From and after the passage of this act it shall not be lawful to employ any part of the Army of the United States as a posse comitatus, or otherwise, for the purpose of executing the laws, except in such cases and under such circumstances as such employment of said force may be expressly authorized by the Constitution or by an act of Congress...

The Posse Comitatus Act was, in fact, a reflection of the intent of the founders. It is noteworthy that, for over 100 years, this act served to constrain federal government use of its armed forces in law enforcement. Also noteworthy is that, as the forces of statism and socialism spread within the federal government following the Civil War, so did the establishment, multiplication and expansion of inherently unconstitutional federal law enforcement, regulatory, and social agencies.

Today, the increasing militarization of federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies, aided by the duplicity of the Department of Defense, has created the very beast feared by the founders generally, and the antifederalists specifically; an armed force under the exclusive control of the executive branch of the federal government. These federal agencies have no purpose other than enforcement of arbitrary, undefined, whim-based federal "laws." These militarized federal agencies now constitute the functional equivalent of a standing army in time of peace the founders warned against as dangerous to liberty, which the Second and Third Amendments were intended to prevent.

IN THE EARLY 1980's, president Reagan announced the "War on Drugs." (How war can be declared and waged on inanimate objects has yet to be determined; but it is typical socialist rhetoric.) Debate on the use of federal armed forces in this "war" and the assistance they could provide to law enforcement began soon thereafter. Significantly, there was opposition in the Department of Defense, particularly within the Army, to the obvious implications of using active duty forces to enforce

drug laws. The prevailing arguments were twofold. First, the involvement of federal armed forces in law enforcement violated the letter and intent of the Posse Comitatus Act. Second, placing federal armed forces in close proximity to the drug trade, because of its proposed enforcement duties, would ultimately lead to corruption within the military. Both objections to military involvement in law enforcement have since proven true.

During the mid 1980's, while Operation Alliance was being organized, a compromise was reached. If, during the execution of normal training, a member of the armed forces witnessed activities that could be reasonably construed to indicate activities involving drugs, or drug trafficking, it was his duty to report it through his chain of command, for forwarding to the appropriate law enforcement agencies. On face value, this compromise was neither unreasonable nor onerous. The consequences of this compromise were.

Operation Alliance was organized in 1986 under Vice President George Bush as head of the National Narcotics Border Interdiction System and Attorney General Edwin Meese III, the Chairman of the National Drug Policy Board. Joint Task Force-6 was established by the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, on 15 September 1989, at Fort Bliss Texas.

Operation Alliance responds to requests for operational support from all law enforcement agencies in the Southwest border region. Its principal focus is securing military support from its coordination center located on Fort Bliss, Texas, adjacent to JTF-6 which is located on Biggs Army Airfield. A significant development in the relationship between Operation Alliance and JTF-6 is that, prior to 1993, the JTF-6 mission was to provide direct support to only "Drug Law Enforcement Agencies." Since January 1993 the word "drug" has been dropped from the "Law Enforcement Agencies" to be supported.

Operation Alliance's primary function is to bring together state and local law enforcement agencies, and their supporting Active, Reserve, and National Guard forces, with federal agencies to provide coordinated support to counterdrug operations along

the U.S. southwest border. Alliance operates under the policy guidance of the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP). The ONDCP manages both the international and domestic counterdrug functions of all executive agencies. The Chairman of ONDCP answers to the National Security Council.

Operation Alliance operates under the policy guidance of the ONDCP Southwest Border Committee and the Operation Alliance Joint Command Group (OAJCG), which is under the directions of the Southwest Border High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) coordinator, who is also the Director of Operation Alliance. The OAJCG functions under the Director, Operation Alliance as a coordinating and planning group. Its members include over 20 federal, state and local law enforcement agencies. Group meetings are chaired by the Senior Tactical Coordinator, which is a position which rotates among representatives of the DEA, the Border Patrol, and the Customs Service.

JTF-6 serves as the planning, coordinating, and operational headquarters providing Department of Defense support to federal, state and local law enforcement agencies along the southwest border. The stated objective of this support is to assist law enforcement agencies in their mission to detect, deter, and disrupt drug trafficking. JTF-6 supports Operation Alliance by planning and coordination all requested DOD (Title 10) support. National Guard (Title 32) support to Operation Alliance is coordinated directly between Operation Alliance and the respective state National Guard organizations.

JTF-6 provides both operational and intelligence support to Operation Alliance. Operational support is provided using "total force" support packages drawn from a variety of military sources and guided by priorities established by Operation Alliance. All military operations in the Operation Alliance area of responsibility are conducted in support of a lead law enforcement agency. This results in joint active duty military-law enforcement operations. For the duration of the operation, active duty military forces are placed under operational control (OPCON) of the sup-

ported law enforcement agency.

JTF-6 routinely provides four types of operational support to Operation Alliance. The first is reconnaissance support. Ground reconnaissance support includes sensors, listening posts, observation posts, ground surveillance radar, and ground patrols. Aerial reconnaissance support includes Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR), Side-looking Airborne Radar (SLAR), photographic imagery, and Remotely Piloted Vehicles (RPVs).

The second type of operational support is training. Training support includes patrolling, helicopter insertions and extractions, sniping, operations and intelligence, and Advanced Military Operations on Urbanized Terrain (AMOUT). (AMOUT cannot be functionally differentiated from Close Quarter Combat (CQC) regardless of nit-picking official attempts to do so. Officially, CQC deals with linear targets—planes, trains, busses. The training and operational techniques of AMOUT and CQC are identical. BORTAC is specifically authorized to receive CQC training).

The third type of operational support is logistics. Logistical support includes engineer projects and air and ground transportation. Engineer projects include barrier erection, road repair, and range construction. Air transportation includes MH-60 and CH-47 helicopters, as well as the provision for fire support from helicopter gun-ships. Ground transportation support includes loans of military vehicles, and the use of tactical vehicles (such as Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicles—BIFVs), upon application. Logistical support also includes loans of surveillance equipment; primarily passive and thermal night observation devices, and day optics.

The fourth type of operational support is Research. Development and Acquisition (RDA). This involves identifying and demonstrating technologies appropriate to combining military and law enforcement capabilities and operations.

JTF-6 also provides extensive intelligence support to Operation Alliance. JTF-6 provides fused and analyzed data from DOD and law enforcement sources to DOD forces deployed in support of law enforce-

ment agencies operating in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, and California. Intelligence support to law enforcement agencies consists of providing the techniques, systems and procedures that facilitate the analysis, fusion, and sharing of drug related intelligence in response to specific requests from law enforcement agencies. Intelligence support is provided by seconding DOD intelligence personnel to law enforcement agencies—specifically to the FBI and DEA, by aerial imagery, intelligence instructors, and translators.

This "fusion" of military intelligence support to law enforcement agencies is reflected in the operations of the DEA established El Paso Intelligence Center (EPIC). EPIC provides operational and tactical intelligence to the law enforcement community. It has its own proprietary database, as well as access to other proprietary intelligence databases. EPIC provides information to authorized DOD, federal and state law enforcement agencies. EPIC is a full service intelligence operations center, whose primary mission is to provide tactical intelligence support to federal, state and local law enforcement agencies with information related to weapons, drugs and aliens. In addition to DEA, eleven other federal agencies are members of EPIC.

IN THEORY, Operation Alliance and JTF-6 were intended to exercise the joint integration of the United States military and federal law enforcement to fight the alleged "war on drugs." In practice, this integration has been exploited by federal, state and local law enforcement agencies to militarize the forces at their disposal. Indeed, given the natural proclivities of the myriad unconstitutional federal law enforcement agencies, Operation Alliance, and JTF-6 support of this integration, the armed, badge wielding thugs of the statist Department of Justice have made themselves the *de facto* internal security army of the United States.

There is no single atrocity that illustrates this obscenity better than the BATF assault on the Seventh Day Adventist, Branch Davidians, at Waco Texas, on 28 FEB, 1993. This operation was directly supported by Company C, 3d Battalion, 3d Special

Forces Group (Airborne), then serving as the Rapid Support Unit for JTF-6 at McGregor Range Complex, Fort Bliss, Texas.

Message traffic indicates that the operational parameters of this assault were known to the Special Forces unit involved as early as December 1992. Operation Alliance, in an attempt to secure DOD support and training prior to the raid, forwarded a completely fictitious report through military channels that there was a suspected methamphetamine lab at the Waco compound. This was enough for JTF-6 to secure the commitment of Special Forces ODA 381 (then attached to Co.C), to provide pre-mission training to BATF forces dedicated to the attack. (See: *Soldier of Fortune*, May 1994, and May 1995, for complete and accurate details).

THE MOST noteworthy result of active duty military integration into the so-called "war on drugs," has been the abject perversion of the once honorable Judge Advocate General Corps (JAG). JTF-6 spared no expense to hire civilian lawyers who specialize in law related to the Posse Comitatus Act. JAG officers who object to specific missions parameters related to the employment of federal troops in support of Operation Alliance and JTF-6 are given notice by military liaison officers to OAJCG that their objections are "...not career enhancing."

Prior to October 1989, counter-drug enforcement belonged exclusively to law enforcement agencies. The Department of Defense provided limited assistance to involved agencies but did not become directly involved in police functions. As part of the 1989 National Defense Authorization Act, DOD was directed to fully integrate its command, control, communications, and intelligence functions with those of federal law enforcement agencies.

This integration was a deliberate, willful violation of the intent of the Third Amendment to the Constitution. Since the establishment of Operation Alliance and JTF-6, law enforcement agencies at all levels have used the increasingly insupportable pretext of "drugs" to secure increasing levels of direct military

training and support. The result has been the increasingly authoritative, abusive, and arbitrary enforcement of so-called "laws" by an increasingly militarized "police." Stripped of its window-dressing and obfuscating rhetoric, the law enforcement agencies of today are no different than the standing armies of the 18th century.

If the federal government was serious about the "threat to national security" posed by the drug trade; if the federal government was serious about "interdicting" the drug trade into the United States; it would invade, reduce to rubble, and occupied as subject colonies, the drug trafficking states of Mexico, Columbia, Peru, and Bolivia.

It causes you wonder who is benefiting by not doing so. The abrogation of individual rights resulting from the logarithmic expansion of arbitrary legislation, and the integration of the Department of Defense with the Department of Justice, is being justified in the name of the "war on drugs." Or is the "war on drugs" simply another front in the socialist war on the Constitution?



ATF Values

Continued from page 1

a secretary unintentionally walked-in on him. When she did so, ((BEATTY)) made no attempt to hide himself and, in fact, exposed himself to her. She complained and the Office of Inspector General (OIG) got involved. The OIG's surveillance report—much of which was omitted from the IG's final report—stated that ((BEATTY)) was observed "...hanging around a child's playground..." and other "unsavory" places.

The upshot was; ((BEATTY)) was confronted and his Assistant Special Agent in Charge (ASAC) Jerry ((RUDEN)) also got in trouble when it was determined that he knew of ((BEATTY))'s proclivities and had not reported them to Headquarters. John ((MCGAW)) commented on this semi-publicity, although not mentioning any names, by saying that he had to appoint a female SAC for the

Nashville office because the females in the office had been "extremely traumatized."

PUNISHMENT: ASAC ((RUDEN)) lost his supervisory status and was transferred to an ATF office in Bowling Green, KY. But he was not forced to move, so he commutes from his home in Nashville. ATF management felt they could not punish ((RUDEN)) too harshly or he would "spill the beans" about ((BEATTY))'s exile to his home state of Alabama. ((MCGAW)) made a statement that ((BEATTY)) had a tough life with a "history of mental problems and related incidents spanning eight years." ATF responded by creating a job for ((BEATTY)) at Fort McLellan, AL, where ATF runs its academy for Special Response Teams—the same SRT's responsible for Mt. Carmel. The position ((BEATTY)) holds at Ft. McClellan is unsupervised. [Source embellishes his report at his point with references to unsupervised homosexual senior federal law enforcement agents retaining their badges and guns while awaiting retirement. Source's language was unprintable. Editor]. ((MCGAW)) told a reporter after the 23 March Senate subcommittee hearing on Waco: "If I didn't want ((BEATTY)) to have a badge and a gun, he wouldn't have a badge and a gun."

REFERENCE: William M. ((REECE)); former head of ATF's aviation operations, was named as chief suspect in the alleged embezzlement of \$1.5 million through falsified travel vouchers. ((REECE)) was allowed to retire without punishment as part of a deal to protect supervisors who had allowed ((REECE))'s deeds to go unpunished. These supervisors were subsequently promoted by Undersecretary of the Treasury Ron ((NOBLE)). ((REECE))'s immediate supervisor at the time was Margaret ((MOORE)).

Reference: Margaret ((MOORE)); she is now SAC in Baltimore, MD. ((MOORE)) was recently investigated for pistol-whipping her live-in homosexual lover at their Baltimore area apartment. A threat was communicated by ((MOORE)) to her victim, who is an area supervisor for ATF, over

Continued on page 20

CORRESPONDENCE

Continued from page 6

I read about your publication in *Soldier of Fortune* magazine. I like your synopsis and philosophy. It's something I truly believe in.

Dilemma: How far can republicanism go with "G_d's Law." The Bible calls for death for so many transgressions. The Supreme Court stated that, "Treason is the ultimate crime and death is the ultimate punishment." Also, the Founding Fathers were not wholly Christians. There were Deists, agnostics, as well as atheists. Now, how is the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution biblically inspired?

What can I do to help the resistance? I want my republic restored.

James

Carmichael, CA

P.S. How many of these "militias" are an excuse for armed hooligans to conduct race war? Is America that divided and disunited?

The Founding Fathers kept their religious beliefs, or lack of them, pretty much to themselves. So do we. However, our extensive reading of the works of the Founding Fathers yields little literary evidence of biblical inspiration. Their prime mover was reason, not religion. Reason is our prime mover also.

Sadly, there are some so-called militias who use patriotism to camouflage their racism and other irrationalist filth. They are no different than our Marxist enemies.

The Staff

IS RACISM and sexual harassment as prevalent in our military today as the sycophants at higher levels lead you to believe? I, for one, do not think so.

Soldiers, regardless of race or sex, can operate effectively and have the opportunity of unlimited advancement as long as they meet or exceed the promotion requisites. It isn't right for any one segment of the military to have an advantage over anyone else based solely on race or sex. Advantages come from hard work, dedication to one's occupational spe-

cialty and the desire to excel. Anything less becomes a promotion system based on quotas, not merit.

The latest fallacy that is circulating is that if women are not allowed into the combat arms career fields then they will not be able to compete effectively for the higher level promotions. Based on what the 'Spin Doctors' at the five sided wind tunnel in Washington tell us, this cannot be true since all MOS' or Branches compete against personnel in their same career field for promotion and school slots. What are the women saying here? Are they saying that they cannot compete in the career fields that they are presently in, so they now want to be allowed to compete in more fields? Does that really make any sense?

The misconception about equal opportunity is that it is equal and that it is opportunity. Maybe it's time to remind everyone concerned that there are no protected classes in our military today other than Negroes and females. It would be refreshing if these protected tribes would cease blaming their personal inadequacy and incompetence in certain career fields on their race or sex and face the music when they are behind the promotion power curve (just like the rest of us do).

The Hispanics and Asians in our military have, for the most part, remained silent. Could it be that they believe that they are receiving fair treatment in regard to promotions and assignments without the blanket coverage of "equal opportunity?" These ridiculous rules and regulations have been thrust upon us by politically motivated elected opportunists and endorsed (read enforced) by packs of sycophants at the higher levels of our chain of command. It would appear that this same group of senior leaders would rather have a force that is balanced both racially and sexually through mandate by some politically correct group that is unconcerned about the ability of our armed forces to fight and win our in the defense of our nation.

What these leaders fail or refuse to recognize is that politically correct groups mandated by politicians and civilians do not win wars. Only highly trained and highly motivated soldiers win wars. Being politically

correct doesn't mean anything when you're at the receiving end of the enemy's weapons.

Isaac Hull
USASFCA

Report to the Sergeants Major

The recent controversy surrounding the Militia of Montana and the Freemen in Roundup, Montana, has surfaced a disturbing trend in the patriot movement. As the details of this incident continue to unfold we cannot help but notice the increasing tendency to engage in petty squabbles. This is most apparent on World Wide Christian Radio (WWCR), 5.065 SW.

WWCR, for the present, is a laissez faire market of ideas. The editorial format of each program is unique. All programs tend to specialize in one or more related subjects. Some are sensational. Some are objective. The degree of one's rationality determines to which program (and its related publication, if it has one), one chooses to subscribe. Eventually, without outside interference, the more rational and objective programs will predominate and the rest will dwindle in direct relation to their demonstrated veracity. This is as it should be.

Contrary to the established premise of deconstructionism, one's ideas and actions are coincident, and they directly reflect one's values. If there is contradiction between one's words and one's actions it will be exposed immediately upon examination of the logical outcome of both. In a laissez faire market of ideas one is free to question the philosophical and ideological premises of one's competitors. However, when one carelessly airs unproven accusations concerning the personal (and therefore private) conduct of a competitor, this says nothing about the accused, but speaks volumes about the accuser.

The patriot movement is not a gym-floor rumble. We are engaged in an ideological war to wrestle the minds of the American public from the deadly grip of Marxism. Grow up.

Command Sergeants Major, SFU

RESISTANCE

Principles of Clandestine Communications

-by-

Michael Bateman

FACE-TO-FACE MEETINGS, conducted secretly between operational personnel, are known as clandestine meetings. Such meetings are employed frequently in the field; chiefly with regard to management and administrative functions. In general, the advantages of clandestine meetings are 1) they save time, 2) they are used as a countermeasure against some forms of eavesdropping, 3) they offer a measure of certainty, and 4) they provide a means of exercising control. The stress and delicacy of secret work make human contact between an agent and his handler imperative, if an operation or organization is to survive and function effectively. The disadvantages of clandestine meetings reflect concerns of security. Participants may be under visual surveillance and the link between them may be discovered by direct or indirect betrayal. Accidental observation is also a consideration, as are snap searches. In cases where something physical is being passed, apprehension of the participants will provide direct evidence of clandestine activity.

Clandestine meetings are, for our purposes, divided into four categories: 1) meetings between unacquainted operatives; 2) meetings between acquainted operatives; 3) meetings between operatives and outsiders; 4) silent meetings, or brush contacts.

Meetings between unacquainted operatives require secure prearranged identification signals and special briefing. The general description and distinguishing features of each operative must be established and according to operational necessity known to one or both. The security problems inherent in the meeting must be analyzed. There may be risks in permitting certain operatives the ability to extensively describe others they are to meet. There may be liabilities in denying this knowledge. The description must preclude the possibility of accidental recognition of legitimate parties who just happen to be at the meeting site.

One approach to the problem of providing descriptions is the use of artificial description points, innocuous in themselves, which offer operatives means of recognition. This technique is sometimes called "showing the flag." Examples, which should not be confused with safety signals, described below, include the time-worn flower in a buttonhole or uniquely folded newspaper, familiar enough to readers of fiction. Artificial points are often given in lieu of physical descriptions involving height, weight, color of hair and color of eyes. They must be obvious enough to spur recognition yet common enough not to attract unwarranted notice. These points may also be made to mesh with prearranged dialogue.

Unique objects, such as consecutively numbered currency or two halves of the same bank-note, were once used as means of identification and this practice was continued professionally as late as World War I. Experience shows, however, that this technique should not be employed due to obvious liability in case of search or arrest.

Once initial recognition is achieved, the operatives must approach one another. At this juncture identification is made and a method often employed is that of a prearranged dialogue. This is sometimes known as use of paroles, or "secret conversation." For example: assume that the artificial descriptive point is a volume of Bronte. One operative offers, "I have never read Bronte." The other replies, "Do you mean Charlotte or Emily?" This is the first exchange. First

exchanges are usually followed for safety's sake by a second exchange unrelated to the first. Again, by way of example: "I did not know there was a difference; as for me I am a gardener." The reply, "It is difficult to keep a garden in this climate." Such harmless dialogue must be structured to prevent accidental conversations with legitimate characters and must leave no question marks.

Meetings between acquainted operatives obviously do not require prearranged identification signals. In every other respect they do not and should not materially differ from other types.

Meetings between operatives and outsiders are in practice avoided but sometimes become necessary. In case where obvious risks are weighed and found to be tolerable, such meetings will be attempted subject to extensive security precautions. A classic example is the stranger who approaches a member of the Resistance, asking to join. Is he sincere, or an agent provocateur? In practice, if subsequent meetings are decided upon these will be handled by the operative first approached. The ruling assumption in such cases is that if the stranger is in fact an agent provocateur then the operative first approached is already "blown."

The manner by which federal agencies approach underground operatives merits further attention, to serve the interests of those readers who are faced with the task of identifying individuals who have been introduced into their groups. Recent federal instructional material covering this topic reads:

"...if the objective is a group of people, the agent will have to determine how he can join them. This can be done with the assistance of an informant who is in the group or, if it is a large group with formalized membership such as a club or "wing" organization, the direct approach of applying for membership may suffice. "...if the objective is to investigate a particular violation occurring at a specific location or to acquire general intelligence information, the approach could be accomplished merely by frequenting the area and establishing the assumed role."

(U.S. Department of Treasury)

The above, while suitable for investigations of limited scope, does not acknowledge all-important questions of resistance countermeasures. Recruits must not be fully accepted until their past and present records of family life, jobs, political activities, and close associates have been investigated and found satisfactory. The usual practice is to restrict the recruit's contact to one member of the organization and to places other than the organization's regular meeting place.

Countermeasures include 1) loyalty tests, in which potential group members are subject to mock capture and interrogation, 2) a sudden summons to meet with security personnel under ominous circumstances designed to reveal signs of nervousness, 3) "leaks" purporting to inform the recruit that he has been blown and is marked for execution, and 4) a particularly effective technique involving change of meeting places. In the latter instance, potential members are kept unwitting of a change in site for a clandestine meeting at which they are expected to attend. Countersurveillants are posted to discover if the recruit is followed, or if surveillance personnel are in place at the site prior to his arrival. Assuming the recruit passes this test, he is approached and told that the meeting is off, or alternately, informed of the new meeting site.

SILENT MEETINGS, normally called brush contacts, are arguably not meetings at all. Orthodox silent meetings are conducted according to the rules of clandestine meeting practice and are normally used solely to pass something physical. Examples are exchanges of identical briefcases in a crowded airport, or the exchange of folded newspapers during a momentary pause on a park bench.

Clandestine meetings are further categorized in terms of their frequency. There are 1) regular meetings, 2) special meetings, and 3) control meetings.

Regular meetings take place according to a prearranged schedule and frequently involve the same site or sites. Such meetings will also be supported by "fall-backs," or alternative meeting times and sites, in case the regular meeting is missed for any reason.

Special meetings take place in response to special signals or requests, typically when the matter is of some urgency. Such meetings may or may not be supported by fall-backs.

Control meetings are functionally a combination of both regular and special meetings and are used in instances where a communication link has been broken or lost. In such cases, the operative must come to a prearranged site at a prearranged time to re-establish contact. Another sort of control meeting involves the use of "places of conspiracy." Places of conspiracy are utilized in emergency circumstances when an operative has been isolated through the capture or compromise of his immediate superior. In this case, the operative knows to visit a predetermined site at a particular time of day, showing certain recognition signals. A representative of the clandestine group takes note of the time and recognition signals, and if these are correct makes the approach. Because of the representative's vulnerable position as a contact for persons in danger, he is limited to this one duty and knows little about other aspects of the organization.

Meetings are held in the open, in public places or conveyances, under safe circumstances (safe-houses), and at a variety of other sites. Meeting sites should be selected on the basis of the ease with which countersurveillance may be practiced. They must be manageable. Deserted areas, for example, are ideal from a countersurveillance point of view, but assuming hostile surveillance the appearance of one operative in proximity to another in such an area may be cause for contamination. Granting this, more public places, such as parks, museums, parking lots, and a host of other locations are often used. Such places, unless selected with considerable care, can be unmanageable due to the volume of foot traffic and surrounding vantage points. A worthwhile practice is the selection of pre-surveyed sites where ordinary traffic and activity have been observed over a long period of time. Some practitioners have selected convoy operators (countersurveillants) on the basis of their familiarity with the meeting site, acting on the principle that the convoy's job will be

easier if he knows the area's normal routine.

Sites selected must actually exist, and must be accessible to both parties at the time set for the meeting. If audio surveillance is a factor the site should present participants with a measure of safety. Obviously the site and the cover must be closely intertwined. An example of this is use of a doctor's or dentist's office, or a motion picture theater. There must be plausible cover for every meeting and each operative must be fully aware of the details of this cover.

IN THE CASE of special meetings, requests are necessary. These are accomplished in any one of several ways. Distinctive arrangements of objects, chalk marks, and classified advertisements have all been used to signal requests. A common method is the use of "wrong numbers" in telephonic communication. The requester dials, and when the line is answered, asks for "Joe," or someone else who is not at that number. This is the signal that a meeting is being requested. Upon learning that, "There's nobody by that name here," the requester asks, "Is this 555-1613?" The number is a code which gives the date, time, and place. (In our example, 5 could refer to a place, 16 could refer to a day, and 13 could refer to 1300 hours). Following this the requester is informed he has reached a wrong number and rings off.

If an operative discovers or suspects he is being followed to a meeting site it becomes incumbent upon him to inform his contact of impending danger. To provide for this contingency safety signals evolved. Used in addition to recognition signals, safety signals silently advise meeting participants 1) it is safe to approach for the secret conversation, 2) if surveillance is suspected, and 3) if a fall-back meeting is feasible.

To again follow our example of an operative with a volume of Bronte, let us assume the meeting is to take place in a public library. The operative is seated, and apart from serving as a recognition point, his book also becomes a safety signal. If the book is placed on his right it is safe to approach; on his left, there is danger. If the book lies open and face down this informs that a fall-back will take

place.

Vigorous, often elaborate and time consuming countersurveillance is practiced by both participants on the way to and from meeting sites. Convoys or countersurveillants are often used to guard participants going to and from meetings. Guards are also used in the vicinity of the site itself. Another technique frequently employed is the staggered arrival. Participants arrive separately at intervals, sometimes as long as thirty minutes or more, taking special effort to observe signs of hostile activity.

DROPS, known variously as "letter drops" are defined as a person, place, conveyance, or object used to transmit messages, money, or equipment in secrecy between operational personnel. Drops are used in both internal and external clandestine communications.

Drops are used in preference to clandestine meetings. In general, the advantages of drops are 1) greater secrecy for communications, and 2) greater security for personnel. Use of drops can reduce the number of clandestine meetings and offer considerably more flexibility in time. There is no direct contact between parties, and assuming the drop remains inviolate, only one operative is exposed at any given moment. Drops may be established in depth to facilitate increased isolation of either sender or receiver, or used to create a reserve of operational necessities. They are also adaptable for use by different types of personnel, such as low-level utility operatives (cut-outs) or those with poor language skills.

The principle disadvantage of drops is uncertainty. While loaded, materials in drops are outside the operative's immediate control. Drops are also liable to accidental or deliberate discovery with subsequent adverse manipulation, and the ravages of fire, flood, or wild animals. Extensive use of drops may also have a negative effect on management. Fewer meetings decrease the opportunities to train and evaluate agents.

Drops are used for both long and short term storage. Long term storage is calculated in terms of days or weeks; short term in hours. When employed for the purpose of communication, drops may hold original

documents or full sized copies; or, alternately, reduced reproductions on film. Film is usually undeveloped, and placed in "trapped" containers. Documents may be in cipher or clear text. As stated above, drops are also used to transmit money or supplies. Examples of the latter include weapons, medical equipment, or other technical apparatus. Drops are of two principle types: 1) "live" drops, and 2) dead drops.

Live drops may be witting or unwitting, id est, they may or may not operate with knowledge of the clandestine purpose. Live drops are not encumbered by any organizational forms and do not require a special cover or camouflage. They are located in stores, restaurants, offices, or small shops such as those maintained by news stands or tobacconists. These locations provide ease of access for couriers and employ a high degree of normal, transient foot traffic.

Another form of live drop is the so-called underground mail station. Such drops may be located in safe-houses especially developed for the purpose with elaborate concealment chambers. As materials are received, housekeepers send coded signals or messages to the next link of the courier line, advising that service is necessary.

DEAD DROPS are categorized variously by type or location. In the former category we find 1) stationary drops, and 2) portable drops. In the later category we find 1) urban drops, and 2) rural drops. Both categories admit of the mobile, or "roving" drop.

Stationary dead drops are selected or prepared in lamp-posts, fences, behind mirrors in washrooms, and in a host of other places such as crevices in rocks or clefts in trees. Portable dead drops, also known as "concealment devices," are discarded or specially constructed objects that contain messages, documents or equipment to be passed. Early U.S. practitioners responsible for devising concealment devices soon discovered that the cardinal principle in producing concealment devices was that the subject of disguise be neither edible nor burnable. In such cases it is liable to be used by some casual passer-by. Magnetic key-boxes, used to hide a dupli-

cate key beneath an automobile bumper, are often used as portable drops. Mobile drops are located in conveyances, popularly the lavatories on trains, buses, or aircraft.

Urban drops are those located in public or otherwise freely accessible places and are typically used for extremely short term transmittals. Rural drops, as the term implies, are located in rustic or rural places. Rural drops are used for either short or long term transmittals.

Advisory signals and indicators are used to express 1) what particular drop is to be serviced, 2) safety or danger, 3) a drop is loaded, and 4) a drop is unloaded.

In common practice, operatives assigned to service drops will do so in response to signaled requests. This signal will usually indicate which drop is loaded, and be supported by a safety/danger signal. We note parenthetically that absence of a safety signal is regarded as a danger signal. Proceeding to the area of the drop, the operative will practice diligent countersurveillance. If the operative is confident of security the drop will be quickly unloaded. He will then make a signal to this effect supported by another safety/danger signal. Safety/danger signals are always made on return journeys, after countersurveillance has been practiced going to and coming from the drop site.

Generally, signals can be divided into five categories, as follows:

1. *Graphic.* Chalk marks expressing numbers, letters, or designs; notices appearing in the classified section of a newspaper, postcards, or other forms of correspondence.

2. *Object.* Any small object, such as a flower-pot, or arrangement of an object, such as a window shade. The object may be used independently or tangentially; that is, the object and its position may both hold significance.

3. *Light.* Ordinary flashlights, automobile headlights, or infrared light.

4. *Sound.* Radio transmissions, telephone calls, distinctive rings on door buzzers.

5. *Personal.* Articles of clothing, or objects carried.

Certain signals or combinations may be used solely in conjunction with specific activities.



BOOK REVIEWS

Revolutionary Outlaws: Ethan Allen and the Struggle for Independence on the Early American Frontier. Michael A. Bellesiles. Charlottesville: University Press of Virginia, 1993. ISBN 0-8139-1419-1. xi + 428 pages. \$24.95.

"A mob of land thieves and banditti—as the government called them—not content with breaking the law, desired to reject it entirely. According to the government of New York, the worst of the rioters was their leader, Ethan Allen: a thug, a bully, a loudmouthed terrorist—and an athiest!—with a \$100 reward on his head. Allen had succeeded in uniting his neighbors—a motley and volatile collection of ethnic and religious groups who could agree only on hating New Yorkers—in the cause of extralegal resistance to proper authority. On these points every New York government of the 1770s and 1780s agreed, as each was equally certain that it could defeat this mob with the force of law."

—from the Introduction

REVOLUTIONARY OUTLAWS is both a biography of Ethan Allen and a history of the conflict between agrarian freeholders and their aristocrat adversaries. Beginning his political career with a price on his head, Allen was transformed by the American Revolution into a national hero. In the same way he and his "outlaws," the Green Mountain Boys, became exemplars of republican virtue. But in their own eyes, these frontier farmers never changed their purpose; from 1764 until 1789 they battled those who sought to steal their land and reduce them to tenancy. The farmers who settled the Green Mountain frontier not only fought efforts to dispossess them, they worked to create the state of Vermont.

Although he is a major figure, Ethan Allen has been overlooked by other biographers, but in Michael Bellesiles he has found a historian worth the wait. Bellesiles shows how Allen's variegated careers as republican politician, local leader, military tactician, rationalist ideologue, and land speculator intersect with many key themes of American development in the last half of the eighteenth century. Allen's law of self-preservation linked life, liberty, and land. Remove one, Allen argued, and the others would vanish.

Revolutionary Outlaws explores the roots of popular political commitment to the patriot cause, the significance of rural political activity, and the origins and structures of an emerging republican polity.

Lethal Laws. Jay Simpkin, Aaron Zelman, and Alan M. Rice. Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership, Inc. Publishers. 2872 S. Wentworth Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53207. 1994. ISBN 0-9642304-0-2. vii + 347 pages. \$24.95.

Reviewed by Dr. Paul Gallant

JEWISH LAW, the Talmud, commands, "He who comes to kill you, arise and kill him first." The story of mankind cannot be told without a description of the evolution and use of weapons. Arms have meant the difference between life and death, slavery and freedom. This country's Founding Fathers knew well this fact of life, and they inscribed the protection of firearms ownership for all individuals in the U.S. Constitution. Yet, even the Founding Fathers could not have predicted the efficiency with which genocide has been committed during the 20th Century.

Lethal Laws is a landmark treatise which describes seven major genocides

perpetrated by governments in this century. It provides a chilling, fully documented expose of the abuse of power by governments. It describes, in great detail, the steps which have been routinely taken prior to each documented genocide, steps which are now being repeated by American statists, steps which can only serve to increase the vulnerability of a citizenry to genocide or tyranny. *Lethal Laws* further examines the role of the media in promoting or facilitating these "official" government programs of human extermination.

Lethal Laws presents a compelling argument against restrictive firearms laws by showing how genocide may be prevented **only** if the concept of "gun-control" is destroyed. That equates to a society in which individuals have free access to firearms, and especially military-style firearms, the very kind protected by our own Constitution (and the very kind banned in the U.S. in 1994). *Lethal Laws* is a major addition to the overwhelming body of evidence demonstrating that "gun-control" is not about guns, but about government power and population control.

Defrauding America: A Pattern of Related Scandals. Rodney Stich. Published privately; Diablo Western Press, Inc., PO Box 5, Alamo, CA 94507. ISBN 0-932438-05-9. 654 pages. \$27.50.

"This book is a thirty-year chronology of corruption inflicted upon the American people, as seen and related through the eyes of government insiders."

—from the Introduction

The Emergence of North Carolina's Revolutionary State Government. Robert L. Ganyard. Raleigh; North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources, Division of Archives and History. 1978. No ISBN. vii + 104 pages. np.

"After a discussion of the structure and problems of government under the crown, the narrative concentrates on the emergence and activities of the revolutionary congresses, committees, and councils that seized control of the colony between 1774 and 1776..."

—from the Preface

BLIND TRANSMISSION BROADCAST

UNCLAS
MSGID/RRI/GENCORR//
AMPN/SUBJ: BTB//
REF/MSG/CIVCORR/15 JAN 95/
27 MAR 95//
RMKS/1. RED BARCHETTA/A.
REF Q1: YES, TO BOTH
CASES.
B. REF Q2: TRADECRAFT.
AMPLIFICATION UPON RE-
QUEST.
C. REF Q3: REF 3A: YES.
REF 3B: THEY ARE PHILOSO-
PHY SCROUNGERS. REF 3C:
UNABLE TO ANSWER; NO
CONTACT WITH THEM. REF 3D:
QUALIFIER ANY REDUCES Q3
TO A PACKAGE QUESTION.
D. REF Q4: REF 4A: NO. REF
4B: NO. REF 4C: NO. AMPLI-
FICATION UPON REQUEST.
E. REF Q5: REF 5A: NO. REF
5B: NO.
F. REF Q6: BOTH ARE IM-
MORAL BECAUSE BOTH UNCON-
STITUTIONAL.
G. REF Q7: GENERAL: A
THOROUGH ANSWER WOULD
REQUIRE YOU TO BE READ-IN.
IN SHORT: REF 7A: UNILAT-
ERALLY? NO, WITH QUALIFI-
CATIONS. REF 7B: UNILATER-
ALLY? YES, WITH QUALIFICA-
TIONS. REF 7C: WE WOULD
KNOW THEM WITHOUT THEM,
BUT YOU WOULD NOT. REF
7AA: THIS IS, IN OUR
ESTIMATION, NOT POSSIBLE
IF OUR READING OF YOUR
UNDERSTANDING OF
INITIATE...WITHIN IS
CORRECT. WE HAVE, HOWEVER,
BEGUN TO EDUCATE IT. REF
7BB: SOME WILL GO. SOME
WILL STAY. ALL WILL WORK
IN CONCERT. REF 7CC: YES.

WE ARE NOT OMNISCIANT.
H. REF DEPARTMENT P: REF
Pls4: YOU HAVE REVERSED
HIS POSITION. REF Pls7:
YOU HAVE EXHIBITED AN
INCREASINGLY RARE QUALITY
IN DOING SO. REF Pls8: WE
ARE NOT. REF Pls9: WE
ALSO.
2. KEITH W./ CONTACT MADE
WITH AUTHOR OF OFFENDING
DOCUMENT. IF YOU HAVE
QUESTIONS FORWARD THEM TO
POC NLT 302400ZAPR95.
3. DAVID K./ SEND. DO NOT
EXPECT IMMEDIATE RESPONSE.
4. WFA/ KNEW ABOUT OPN IN
JAN. KEEP A LOW PROFILE.
5. LCHC/ OFFER ACCEPTED
PENDING DD-214. RE: PS(1)
ENCLOSURE FYI. RE: PS(2)
IF WE HAD ACCESS TO THAT
KIND OF INFORMATION YOU
COULDN'T TRUST US.
6. LJL/ GREATLY APPRECI-
ATED.
7. BRUCE C./ GIVE US SOME
TIME TO ASSEMBLE DATA.

AVAILABLE BUT NOT ON HAND.
S:302300ZAPR95.
8. MICHAEL H./ PATIENCE.
HAVE BEEN STUDYING ISSUE
RAISED. LOTS OF SMOKE.
HAVE NOT YET FOUND THE
FIRE. THAT DOES NOT MEAN
THERE IS NO FIRE.
9. SYLVIA P./ NICE ENVE-
LOPE. NO ENCLOSURES.
10. GEORGE S./ NOT EVERY-
THING THE ARMY DOES IS
OMINOUS. THAT DOES NOT
MEAN YOU TAKE YOUR EYES
OFF THEM.
11. 222/ CORRECT. SEE
RETRACTION. NO EXCUSE.
12. LEO K./ NEED POC YOUR
AO. VOLUNTARY.
13. MP/ RE: YOUR PREVIOUS
EMPLOYMENT; AMPLIFY TERMS
OF SEPARATION AND CURRENT
ACCESS, IF ANY. YOU ARE A
NATURAL. TERMS A LITTLE
STEEP BUT UNDER CONSIDER-
ATION.//
POC/MSGCEN POB47095 KCMO
64188/S: 15 MAY 95//

Manuals for the Unorganized Militia

In these times of federal conspiracy to cement their abrogation of the Constitution, patriots must possess the knowledge of *how* to resist tyranny. *The RESISTER* is offering a series of manuals on the nuts-and-bolts of resistance. Topics include: Organization, Logistics, Intelligence, and Operations. Defend yourself against the New World Order with knowledge as well as arms.

Now Available

....How to Spot Informants	\$10.00
....Principles of Tradecraft	\$30.00

Available in June

....How to Launder Money	\$25.00
--------------------------	---------

Terms: Cash or blank money order. Send order to:
Militia Free Press, c/o The RESISTER, POB 47095, Kansas City, MO 64188

Open Letter...

Continued from page 2

embodiment of the concept of a select militia in that it is armed, equipped, organized, trained and paid for by—and therefore loyal to—the federal government. Regardless of a state's nominal control over its national guard, it is subject to federalization by presidential decree and thus little more than an auxiliary of the standing army. The national guard is a twisted socialist mockery of the principle stated in 1791 by Rep. James Jackson, Georgia, that, "In a Republic every man ought to be a soldier, and be prepared to resist tyranny and usurpation, as well as invasion, and to prevent the greatest of all evils—a standing army."

Today the militia concept exists within an irreconcilable paradox. In a republic the militia is the armed citizenry. The unalienable natural and common-law right of individuals to form, join, drill, and if necessary fight as an organized body of armed citizens in defense of their common interests (by voluntary association) against the forces of either foreign or domestic tyranny is therefore unquestionable. This is, in fact, the true intent of the Second Amendment. The paradox is that the natural right of self defense and the common law right (indeed, obligation in your own self interest) to oppose tyranny, by force of arms if necessary, has been rendered questionable, if not in some cases illegal, by the slow but persistent forces of socialism and Marxism within the federal and state governments.

In 1917, the federal government assumed control over the various states' organized militias by means of establishing the National Guard. The stated reason was national security. The true intent was to eliminate resistance to Woodrow Wilson's socialist design to cement this nation's descent into the political gang warfare of democracy. The result was government security.

In 1934, the National Firearms Act was passed without so much as a whimper of protest from the unorganized militia. The stated purpose was public safety. The true intent was to interdict potential resistance to the

logical results of Roosevelt's Marxist economic and social policies. The result was greater government security.

The 1968 Gun Control Act and the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act passed without much notice or opposition from the unorganized militia. Their stated purpose was, again, public safety. Their true purpose was population control by means of prohibiting the undocumented transfer of firearms, and they broadened the scope police powers. The end result was even greater government security.

During the 1980's the states passed laws prohibiting paramilitary training without a whisper of dissent from the unorganized militia. The stated purpose of these laws was, again, public safety. Their true purpose was to prevent the militia from drilling. This means government security.

In 1994 both the Public Safety and Recreational Firearms Use Protection Act and the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act passed. Their stated purpose was, yet once again, public safety. Their true purpose was, again, population control by limiting ready access to firearms, prohibiting military style small arms without documentation, and federalizing most "crimes," which means; government security.

Where was the unorganized militia when all these anti-militia laws were being passed? Waiting for someone else to "do something?" Whom, exactly? The NRA? The NRA has been compromising away your rights for over 60 years.

Returning to the militia paradox mentioned previously, the formation and exercising of a militia is now, for all intents and purposes, of dubious legality. Not unconstitutional, given that rights antedating the Constitution are "reserved to the States respectively, or to the people." Merely questionable. And the reason the formation and exercise of a militia today is questionable, is because the unorganized militia of previous generations, wishing they could have their cake and eat it, did nothing while their so-called representatives appealed to their irrational whims and sold them down the socialist river.

Where was the militia when the Supreme Court usurped the power to interpret the Constitution? Where was the militia when the Anti-Trust laws were passed? Did the militia mobilize to oppose any of the following? Democracy; the Federal Reserve Bank; direct taxation; popular election of Senators; universal suffrage; compulsory education; prohibition; the formation of federal law enforcement, regulatory, or social agencies; the War Powers Act; labor laws; social security; the formation of the U.N.; the Marshal Plan; so-called 'civil rights'; Lyndon Johnson's blatantly Marxist "Great Society" programs; environmental laws; lowering the voting age; equal opportunity; affirmative action; forfeiture laws; or any other statist, socialist, or Marxist legislation? The answer is: NO.

The recent federal crackdown on the unorganized militia and their related activities was not only predictable, it was inevitable, because the unorganized militia of past generations sanctioned their own—and by default—your, victimization. If there is one ray of hope on the horizon it is that Americans have begun to understand the logical consequence of their ancestor's irrational compromise between liberty and democracy; tyrannical government.

What recourse is there when government—federal, state or local—demonstrates its illegitimacy by the passage of socialist and Marxist inspired legislation which, by definition, abrogates individual rights and compels individuals to act against their rational self interest? There appear to be only two choices: rebellion or slavery. But there is a third. Resistance.

The militias, such as they are, need to carefully consider their position. You cannot reasonably expect to form a militia, voice your opposition to the federal government and its rights abrogating domestic policies and expect to remain untargeted by its internal security apparatus. Any attempt to restore the Constitution, as written, threatens extinction to the federal bureaucracy and the pull-politics beast it rides. The federal government's eradication of the Seventh Day Adventists in Waco had nothing to do with religion, alleged firearms laws violations, or alleged

child abuse. It was a message to the American people from our socialist federal government, delivered by their errand boys in the BATF and FBI. It is the universal message of all tyrannies; if you are defiant, we will kill you.

We are raising this issue to point out that the patriot movement in general, and militia groups in particular, need to get a firm grip on the realities of resistance and underground operations. Most militia groups, as they now exist (there are a very few exceptions), have all the clandestine subtlety and operational sophistication of the Kenyan Mau Mau movement of the early 1960's. In contrast, the federal government has, at its immediate disposal—if it chooses to put it to full use—an internal security and population control apparatus that would have made Hitler and Stalin weep with envy. There is nothing preventing the federal government from exercising its unlimited power since all they have to do when confronted with constitutional constraints is redefine the parameters of those constraints, as the recent passage of HR 666 demonstrates.

During the debates on ratification of the Constitution, antifederalists argued that the militia clause contained the seeds of tyranny by granting to Congress control over the militia as to deprive the states effective control over their militia.

Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution delegates to Congress the authority:

To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress.

The obvious (to an antifederalist) flaw in this section is that if Congress has the authority to arm the militia it also has the authority to disarm them. Indeed, a militia thus armed by Congress (called a Select militia), would be liable to serve as a force to disarm the rest of the populace. This was

corrected by the Uniform Militia Act of 8 May 1792, which required every "free able bodied white male citizen" between the ages 18 to 45 to enroll in local militia units and "provide himself with a good musket or firelock..." (which means: a rifle suitable for military service), ammunition and accoutrements. In passing this act Congress rejected federal control over arming and exemptions, and specifically rejected presidential power to call out the militia, thus reserving to the states questions of organization and discipline. The very notion of the militia falling under federal authority (Select militia) was considered a violation of the intent of a militia (an armed citizenry), organized, trained and disciplined by state legislatures.

Objective reading of the Militia Act makes it clear that a legitimate organized militia falls under control of the State government, which is responsible for its organization, discipline and the appointment of its officers. The unorganized militia is the armed citizenry at large. This arrangement is not only rational, it is essential, for without legitimate authority any demagogue could form a "militia," which in practice would be little more than a local armed gang. Therein lies an inherent danger of the militia movement and the reason the Special Forces Underground will not commit its assets indiscriminately.

We stated above that our support of the militia movement in general is unqualified, but that our support of particular militias is very much qualified. To put it bluntly, we judge. If the philosophy of your organization rests upon any premise we find contradictory to the principles of unalienable individual rights, laissez faire capitalism, and limited constitutional republicanism, you are on your own.

If your decision to join your state approved organized militia is based upon a rational, objective belief that your state government has consistently demonstrated it is the guarantor of your liberties as a free man, then by all means do so. If your state government fails this objective test, you—as an individual acting in your own rational self interest—as a member of the unorganized militia by virtue of citizenship in a constitutional republic, have the unalienable right to voluntarily associate with

whomever you choose for any moral, ethical, non-compulsory purpose.

We have stated in the past that when the time comes, we will find you. *The RESISTER* is the vehicle. Your voluntary association is the means. If you do not know how this works, pay attention. We will teach you.

J.F.A.Davidson

Hitch-hiker

On 04 February 1995, Mr. Frank Elena, a member of the Freeman, and alleged member of the Militia of Montana, spoke for 28 minutes 17 seconds before the Arizona Breakfast Club. Mr. Elena's somewhat disorganized and (apparently) hastily prepared presentation ranged across a number of topics, including his personal life and the movement and disposition of ex-Warsaw Pact military vehicles in the United States.

13 minutes 55 seconds into his presentation, Mr Elena announced;

Right now, The Resister, which is another group of...ahh...retired special forces, who have inside information all the time...we get information on faxes...on the intel hot-line, and...ahh...right now we have about 60% of our military 'gonna back us...we've got probably the same amount of national guard.

Mr. Elena's liberal juxtaposition of "The Resister," with "we," in the same sentence, in his capacity as spokesman for the Militia of Montana, is a gross misrepresentation.

Mr. Elena has no connection, direct or indirect, with Special Forces Underground or *The RESISTER*. We deny emphatically Mr. Elena's assertions that he, or any organization he purports to represent, speaks knowledgeably about Special Forces Underground, *The RESISTER*, our activities, or our support base. Mr. Elena's indiscretion appears to be an isolated incident.

For the record, there are only four individuals outside the underground who know how to contact us directly. Mr. Elena is not one of them.

M.O. Warren
PAO, SFU

ATF Values

Continued from page 11

the victim's casual affair with a female computer software contractor working for ATF. The alleged motive for ((MOORE))'s threat was jealousy. ((MOORE)) is also under scrutiny for taking a government car on a trip to Mexico. ((MOORE))'s supervisor at the time of ((REECE))'s embezzlement was Dick ((GARNER)).

Reference: Dick ((GARNER)); he is ATF liaison officer to the Pentagon. In the hours immediately after the Waco raid, ((GARNER)) filed a request, (which bypassed Operation Alliance and JTF-6) with the DOD, for 10 Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicles (BIFVs), supposedly to be used to set-up a defensive perimeter to evacuate wounded, but with the ominous postscript that "...if the vehicles are to be used in an assault role, U.S. Army markings are to be obscured." After ((REECE))'s unpunished embezzlement, ((GARNER)) was promoted to be SAC in Nashville, where he replaced Cummins ((BEATTY)).

Reference: WACO. ATF management is not only unwilling to punish poor management, it actually rewards poor management and, in the cases of ((BEATTY)) and ((REECE)), criminal behavior, among managers. Another example of this would be the firing—and then rehiring—of Waco raid commanders Phillip ((CHOJNACKI)) and Charles ((SARABYN)). Both deny altering the raid plan to divert blame from themselves. The Treasury Report on Waco

said such alterations were made. Now Treasury is withdrawing its claim of the alterations, and even on the existence of a raid plan. The alleged absence of such a plan for an operation of such scale constitutes criminal negligence. Regardless, numerous Pentagon documents prove the claim there was no raid plan is a lie. In fact, ((CHOJNACKI)) and ((SARABYN)) reclaimed their jobs because they know—and promised not to tell—that final approval to go ahead with the compromised raid was given only minutes before the shooting, which was started by Ron ((NOBLE)). Cellular telephone records prove this.

DGEPY CRHGN HOHCI CQBXT KZQMK
ZJBCN URJYA ZPOPL CKLOB VOSTZ
LVEGD NTVEV EFWOF LLEOI UYCEV
DNIPL TEZRT ROTZU KNTBQ LOFAR
SJIAV VVWFP QKSAL OXJOU XHOYZ
NVCGE BXYIN DRTMR ZWRBO DGEPY

PERSONALS

SWF 34, 5'3", 110#, Busy consultant, Tae Kwon Do enthusiast, ISO SWM 28 - 40; Military, must be fit and work out, no commitments, long absences OK.

(MSG)202-310-1486

WANTED: Voluntary donations or loans of ham radio equipment. Pre-1990 ICOM IC-R7000 or Kenwood R-5000 receivers, or equivalent; ICOM or Kenwood transceivers, voice, CW and burst capable; simplex and duplex hand-helds. Write: C&E, c/o The RESISTER, PO Box 47095, Kansas City, MO 64188.

SNIPER/FIREARMS TRAINING: Former Special Forces Sniper instructor. Selective. Expensive. Write: JFT, c/o this publication.

WATCH OUT



FOR MARTIAL LAW

**Warning: It's dangerous to be right
when the Government is wrong.**

Watch Out for Martial Law, Carl Klang's second cassette album is a worthy successor to his popular, hard-hitting song collection, *It's Dangerous to be Right When the Government is Wrong*.

The music of Oregon song writer Carl Klang is inspired by this nation's modern-day life and death struggles for Liberty. The ballads and songs of Carl Klang are wonderfully entertaining. Serious, thought provoking and spiritual, they carry an important message that you will want to share with others.

Please send:

.....*Watch Out For Martial Law*\$10.00
.....*It's Dangerous to be Right ...*\$ 8.00
.....*America, America*\$10.00(NEW)

Enclose your cash or check and mail to:

Carl R. Klang, PO Box 217, Colton, OR 97017
(503) 824-3371

The RESISTER

Post Office Box 47095, Kansas City, MO 64188

"The instability of our laws is really an immense evil."

Thomas Jefferson

Single Issue.....\$6.00
Four Issues.....\$20.00(One year)
Back Issues.....\$7.00(each,Grey)

NAME/PSEUDONYM _____
ADDRESS _____
CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

TERMS: For security reasons we prefer remittance by cash. If you are uncomfortable with that remit by money order. Please leave "PAY TO THE ORDER OF" blank. No checks (they are insecure). Your distribution will begin in the quarter in which we receive your contribution.